AMENDED IN SENATE JUNE 23, 2003 AMENDED IN SENATE JUNE 9, 2003 AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 9, 2003

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2003-04 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 1717

Introduced by Committee on Transportation (Dutra (Chair), Chan, Chu, Liu, Longville, Nakano, Oropeza, Parra, Pavley, Salinas, and Simitian)

February 27, 2003

An act to amend Sections 8879.1, 14070.4, 14076.4, 14524.2, and 65082 of, and to repeal Sections 8879.17, 14051, and 14524.15, and 14525.5 of, the Government Code, to amend Sections 21602, 21702, 21704, 21707, and 102015 of, and to repeal Section 21604 of, and Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 21501) of Division 9 of, the Public Utilities Code, and to amend Sections 72.1, 164.6, 188.5, 302, 339, 354, 373, 390, 391, 407, 410, 411, 426, 460, and 820 of, and to repeal Sections 180.10, 391.1, 391.3, 401.1, 407.1, 411.5, and 509 of, the Streets and Highways Code, relating to transportation.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

- AB 1717, as amended, Committee on Transportation. Transportation.
- (1) Existing law creates a transportation planning and programming process for the expenditure of transportation capital funds and describes the powers and duties of the Department of Transportation and the California Transportation Commission in that regard. Existing law requires the department to submit various reports to the Legislature

AB 1717 — 2 —

regarding transportation project delivery, seismic retrofit projects, and certain other matters.

This bill would eliminate certain reporting requirements and revise other reporting requirements.

(2) Existing law authorizes the Department of Transportation, by interagency agreement, to transfer responsibility for administering an intercity rail passenger corridor funded by the department to a joint powers board, and prescribes certain requirements applicable to the Capitol Corridor in the event an interagency agreement is concluded for that corridor, including the selection of a public rail transit agency to provide all necessary administrative support staff to the joint powers board.

This bill would delete provisions governing the length of terms of the interagency agreement and would provide for 5-year renewal terms applicable to the selection of an agency by the Capitol Corridor board to provide administrative support staff.

(3) Existing law provides that the Department of Transportation shall have full possession and control of all state highways. Existing law describes the authorized routes in the state highway system and establishes a process for adoption of a traversable highway on an authorized route by the California Transportation Commission. Existing law authorizes the commission to relinquish certain state highway segments to local agencies.

This bill would revise the route descriptions for certain state highway segments that have been relinquished to local agencies.

(4) Existing law creates the Division of Aeronautics in the Department of Transportation with certain powers and duties relative to aviation. Existing law requires the division to collaborate in the development and implementation of a computerized cockpit instrument display for general aviation aircraft, and establishes a process for selecting general aviation capital improvement projects funded with state and federal funds. Existing law requires certain airport planning functions to be funded solely with federal funds.

This bill would repeal the provisions relating to implementation of the computerized cockpit instrument display and would authorize certain airport planning functions to be funded from nonfederal sources. The bill would also make revisions to the process for selecting capital projects. __ 3 __ AB 1717

(5) Existing law describes the authorized boundaries of the Sacramento Regional Transit District and cities that may be annexed to the district.

This bill would identify Citrus Heights, Elk Grove, and Rancho Cordova as additional cities that may be annexed to the district.

(6) The bill would make other conforming changes.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. Section 8879.1 of the Government Code is 2 amended to read:
- 3 8879.1. (a) The Legislature finds and declares that the completion of seismic safety retrofit work is essential to the welfare and economy of the state.
 - (b) It is the intent of the Legislature to ensure that the work be completed as quickly as possible.
 - (c) In order to avoid delays in the completion of the work, it is necessary that certain statutes that would otherwise be applicable be temporarily suspended.
- SEC. 2. Section 8879.17 of the Government Code is repealed.
- 12 SEC. 3. Section 14051 of the Government Code is repealed.
- 13 SEC. 4.

6

8

10

16 17

18

19

22

23

24

26

- 14 SEC. 3. Section 14070.4 of the Government Code is amended 15 to read:
 - 14070.4. (a) An interagency transfer agreement between the department and a joint powers board, when approved by the secretary, shall do all of the following:
 - (1) Specify the date and conditions for the transfer of responsibilities and identify the annual level of funding and ensure that the level of funding is consistent with and sufficient for the planned service improvements within the corridor.
 - (2) Identify, for the initial year and subsequent years, the funds to be transferred to the board including state operating subsidies made available for intercity rail services in the corridor, and funds currently used by the department for administration and marketing of the corridor, with the amounts adjusted annually for inflation

AB 1717 — 4 —

 (3) Specify the level of service to be provided, the respective responsibilities of the board and the department, the methods that the department will use to assure the coordination of services with other rail passenger services in the state, and the methods that the department will use for the annual review of the business plan and annual proposals on funding and appropriations.

- (4) Describe the terms for transferring to the joint exercise of powers agency car and locomotive train sets, and other equipment and property owned by the department and required for the intercity service in the corridor including, but not limited to, the number of units to be provided, liability coverage, maintenance and warranty responsibilities, and indemnification issues.
- (5) Describe auditing responsibilities and process requirements, reimbursement and billing procedures, the responsibility for funding shortfalls, if any, during the course of each fiscal year, an operating contract oversight review process, performance standards and reporting procedures, the level of rail infrastructure maintenance, and other relevant monitoring procedures. The description shall contain an evaluation of the impact of any transfer of equipment on other intercity corridors. The agreement shall endeavor to minimize the impact and maximize the efficient use of the equipment, including continued joint use of equipment that is currently shared by one or more corridors.
- (b) Use of the annual state funding allocation, as set forth in the interagency transfer agreement, shall be described in an annual business plan submitted by the board to the secretary for review and recommendation by April 1 of each year. The business plan, when approved by the secretary, shall be deemed accepted by the state. The budget proposal developed by the department for the subsequent year shall be based upon the business plan approved by the secretary. The business plan shall be consistent with the interagency agreement and shall include a report on the recent as well as historical performance of the corridor service, an overall operating plan including proposed service enhancement to increase ridership and provide for increased traveler demands in the corridor for the upcoming year, short-term and long-term capitol improvement programs, funding requirements for the upcoming fiscal year, and an action plan with specific performance goals and objectives. The business plan shall document service

— 5 — AB 1717

improvements to provide the planned level of service, inclusion of operating plans to serve peak period work trips, and consideration 3 of other service expansions and enhancements. The plan shall clearly delineate how funding and accounting for state-sponsored 5 rail passenger services shall be separate from locally sponsored 6 services in the corridor. Proposals to expand or modify passenger services shall be accompanied by the identification of all associated costs and ridership projections. The business plan shall 9 establish, among other things: fares, operating strategies, capital improvements needed, and marketing and operational strategies 10 designed to meet performance standards established in the 12 interagency agreement.

- (c) Based on the annual business plan and the subsequent appropriation by the Legislature, the secretary shall allocate state funds on an annual basis to the board. As provided in the interagency agreement, any additional funds that are required to operate the passenger rail service during the fiscal year shall be provided by the board from jurisdictions that receive service. In addition, the board may use any cost savings or farebox revenues to provide service improvements related to intercity service. In any event, the board shall report the fiscal results of the previous year's operations as part of the annual business plan.
- (d) The level of service funded by the state shall in no case be less than the current number of intercity round trips operated in a corridor and serving the end points currently served by the intercity rail corridor. Subject to Section 14035.2, the level of service funded by the state shall also include feeder bus service with substantially the same number of route miles as the current feeder system, to be operated in conjunction with the trains.
- 30 (e) Nothing in this article shall be construed to preclude 31 expansion of state-approved intercity rail service.

SEC. 5.

11

13 14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39 40

SEC. 4. Section 14076.4 of the Government Code is amended to read:

14076.4. If the board and the department enter into an interagency transfer agreement pursuant to Article 5 (commencing with Section 14070), for an initial period, that begins with the transfer of responsibilities from the department to the board and continues for a three-year period subsequent to the completion of the track and signal improvements between

AB 1717 -6-

- Sacramento and Emeryville, the San Francisco Bay Area Rapid
- Transit District General Manager and the district's administrative
- staff shall, if that district has appointed members to the board in
- accordance with Section 14076.2, provide all necessary
- 5 administrative support to the board to perform its duties and
- responsibilities, and may perform for the board any and all 6
- activities that they are authorized to perform for the district. At the
- conclusion of the initial period, the board may, through procedures
- 9 that it determines, select the San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit
- District or another existing public rail transit agency for one 10
- 11 three-year term immediately following the initial period, and
- 12 thereafter for five-year terms, to provide all necessary
- administrative support staff to the board to perform its duties and 13
- 14 responsibilities.
- 15 SEC. 6.
- 16 SEC. 5. Section 14524.15 of the Government Code is 17 repealed.
- 18 SEC. 7.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

31

32 33

34

35

36 37

38

- 19 SEC. 6. Section 14524.2 of the Government Code is amended 20 to read:
 - 14524.2. (a) If the department's total project delivery plan for any year requires a permanent and temporary capital outlay support staffing level which equals the 1986-87 budgeted permanent and temporary capital outlay support staffing level, the department's budget request for that year shall contain a permanent and temporary capital outlay support staffing level equal to its 1986–87 authorized permanent and temporary capital outlay support staffing level.
- (b) If the department's total project delivery plan for any year 30 requires a permanent and temporary capital outlay support staffing level and personnel year equivalents for cash overtime and contract services which exceed the 1986–87 authorized permanent and temporary capital outlay support staffing level and personnel year equivalents for cash overtime and contract services, the department's budget request for that year shall contain a permanent and temporary capital outlay support staffing level and personnel year equivalents for cash overtime equal to the 1986–87 authorized permanent and temporary capital outlay support staffing level and personnel year equivalents for cash overtime plus one-half of the excess over the 1986–87 authorized permanent

—7— AB 1717

and temporary capital outlay support staffing level and personnel year equivalents for cash overtime and contract services. The department may contract out, pursuant to Section 14131, an equal number of personnel year equivalents for each authorized permanent and temporary capital outlay support staffing level and 5 personnel year equivalents for cash overtime which exceed the 6 1986–87 authorized permanent and temporary capital outlay support staffing level and personnel year equivalents for cash 9 overtime.

(c) For purposes of this section, "permanent and temporary capital outlay support staffing level" means the department's permanent and temporary capital outlay support staffing level funded by state and federal funds through the State Highway Account.

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

21

24

25

27

28

29

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

- SEC. 8. Section 14525.5 of the Government Code is repealed. SEC. 9.
- SEC. 7. Section 65082 of the Government Code is amended to read:
- 65082. regional (a) (1) A five-year transportation improvement program shall be prepared, adopted, and submitted to the California Transportation Commission on or before 22 December 15 of each odd-numbered year thereafter, updated every two years, pursuant to Sections 65080 and 65080.5 and the guidelines adopted pursuant to Section 14530.1, to include regional transportation improvement projects and programs proposed to be funded, in whole or in part, in the state transportation improvement program.
 - (2) Major projects shall include current costs updated as of November 1 of the year of submittal and escalated to the appropriate year, and be listed by relative priority, taking into account need, delivery milestone dates, and the availability of funding.
 - (b) Except for those counties that do not prepare a congestion management program pursuant to Section 65088.3, congestion management programs adopted pursuant to Section 65089 shall be incorporated into the regional transportation improvement program submitted to the commission by December 15 of each odd-numbered year.
 - (c) Local projects not included in a congestion management program shall not be included in the regional transportation

AB 1717 — 8 —

1 improvement program. Projects and programs adopted pursuant to subdivision (a) shall be consistent with the capital improvement program adopted pursuant to paragraph (5) of subdivision (b) of Section 65089, and the guidelines adopted pursuant to Section 14530.1.

- (d) Other projects may be included in the regional transportation improvement program if listed separately.
- (e) Unless a county not containing urbanized areas of over 50,000 population notifies the Department of Transportation by July 1 that it intends to prepare a regional transportation improvement program for that county, the department shall, in consultation with the affected local agencies, prepare the program for all counties for which it prepares a regional transportation plan.
- (f) The requirements for incorporating a congestion management program into a regional transportation improvement program specified in this section do not apply in those counties that do not prepare a congestion management program in accordance with Section 65088.3.
- (g) The regional transportation improvement program may include a reserve of county shares for providing funds in order to match federal funds.

22 SEC. 10.

23 SEC. 8. Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 21501) of 24 Division 9 of the Public Utilities Code is repealed.

SEC. 11.

- *SEC. 9.* Section 21602 of the Public Utilities Code is amended to read:
- 21602. (a) Subject to the terms and within the limits of special appropriations made by the Legislature, the department may render financial assistance by grant or loan, or both, to political subdivisions jointly, in the planning, acquisition, construction, improvement, maintenance, or operation of an airport owned or controlled, or to be owned or controlled, by a political subdivision or subdivisions, if the financial assistance has been shown by public hearing to be appropriate to the proper development or maintenance of a statewide system of airports. Financial assistance may be furnished in connection with federal or other financial aid for the same purpose.
- (b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a) of Section 21681, a city or county designated by the Airport Land Use Commission is eligible

_9 _ AB 1717

to compete for funds held in the Aeronautics Account in the State Transportation Fund on behalf of any privately owned, public use airport that is included in an airport land use compatibility plan. However, the city or county shall be eligible to compete for the funds only when zoning on the parcel is tantamount to a taking of all reasonable uses that might otherwise be permitted on the parcel. The eligible airport and aviation purposes are limited to those specified in paragraphs (4), (5), (6), (9), and (14) of subdivision (f) of Section 21681, and, further, any capital improvements or acquisitions shall become the property of the designated city or county. Matching funds pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 21684 may include the in-kind contribution of real property, with the approval of the department.

(c) Any grant of funds held in the Aeronautics Account in the State Transportation Fund on behalf of any privately owned airports shall contain a covenant that the airport remain open for public use for 20 years. Any grant made to a city or county on behalf of a privately owned airport shall contain a payback provision based upon existing market value at the time the private airport ceases to be open for public use.

- (d) Upon request, California Aid to Airports Program (CAAP) projects included within the adopted Aeronautics Program, may be funded in advance of the year programmed, with the concurrence of the department, in order to better utilize funds in the account.
- (e) There is, in the Aeronautics Account in the State Transportation Fund, a subaccount for the management of funds for loans to local entities pursuant to this chapter. All funds for airport loans in the Special Deposit Fund are hereby transferred to the subaccount. With the approval of the Department of Finance, the department shall deposit in the subaccount all money received by the department from repayments of and interest on existing and future airport loans, including, but not limited to, the sums of five hundred forty thousand dollars (\$540,000) in repayments from the General Fund due in July 1987, and July 1988, and may, upon appropriation, transfer additional funds from the Aeronautics Account in the State Transportation Fund to the subaccount as the department deems appropriate. Interest on money in the subaccount shall be credited to the subaccount as it accrues.

AB 1717 — 10 —

(f) Notwithstanding Section 13340 of the Government Code, the money in the subaccount created by subdivision (e) is hereby continuously appropriated to the department without regard to fiscal years for purposes of loans to political subdivisions for airport purposes.

SEC. 12.

7 SEC. 10. Section 21604 of the Public Utilities Code is 8 repealed.

SEC. 13.

- 10 SEC. 11. Section 21702 of the Public Utilities Code is 11 amended to read:
 - 21702. The California Aviation System Plan shall include, but not be limited to, all of the following elements:
 - (a) A background and introduction element, which summarizes aviation activity in California and establishes goals and objectives for aviation improvement.
 - (b) An air transportation issues element, which addresses issues such as aviation safety, airport noise, airport ground access, transportation systems management, airport financing, airport land use compatibility planning, and institutional relationships.
 - (c) A regional plan alternative element, which consists of the aviation elements of the regional transportation plans prepared by each transportation planning agency. This element shall include consideration of regional air transportation matters relating to growth, capacity needs, county activity, airport activity, and systemwide activity in order to evaluate adequately the overall impacts of regional activity in relation to the statewide air transportation system. This element shall propose general aviation and air carrier public use airports for consideration by the commission for funding eligibility under this chapter.
 - (d) A state plan alternative element, which includes consideration of statewide air transportation matters relating to growth, including, but not limited to, county activity, airport activity, and systemwide activity in order to evaluate adequately the state aviation system and to designate an adequate number of general aviation and air carrier public use airports for state funding in order to provide a level of air service and safety acceptable to the public.
- 39 (e) A comparative element, which compares and contrasts the 40 regional plan alternative with the state plan alternative, including,

— 11 — AB 1717

but not limited to, airport noise, air quality, toxic waste cleanup, energy, economics, and passengers served.

- (f) A 10-year capital improvement plan for each airport, based on each airport's adopted master plan if the airport has a master plan, approved by the applicable transportation planning agency, and submitted to the division for inclusion in the California Aviation System Plan.
- (g) Any other element deemed appropriate by the division and the transportation planning agencies.
- (h) A summary and conclusion element, which presents the findings and recommended course of action.

SEC. 14.

2

3

5

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

19

21 22

24

25

26

27

- Section 21704 of the Public Utilities Code is SEC. 12. amended to read:
- 21704. The division, in consultation with the transportation planning agencies, shall biennially revise the capital improvement plan developed pursuant to subdivision (f) of Section 21702, and the division shall submit the revised capital improvement plan to the commission. The division, in consultation with the transportation planning agencies, shall revise all other elements of the California Aviation System Plan every five years, and shall submit the revised system plan to the commission.

23 SEC. 15.

- SEC. 13. Section 21707 of the Public Utilities Code is amended to read:
- 21707. Any funds necessary to carry out Sections 21701, 21702, and 21704 shall be obtained from federal grants, except for updates of the capital improvement plan and policy elements of the California Aviation System Plan, which may be funded from 30 nonfederal sources.

31 SEC. 16.

- 32 SEC. 14. Section 102015 of the Public Utilities Code is 33 amended to read:
- 34 "City" means, individually, the Cities of Citrus 102015. Heights, Davis, Elk Grove, Folsom, Rancho Cordova, Roseville, 35
- Sacramento, and Woodland, and any other city which is annexed
- 37 to the district as provided in this part.
- 38 SEC. 17.
- SEC. 15. 39 Section 72.1 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:

AB 1717 — 12 —

- 72.1. (a) For purposes of this section, the following terms have the following meanings:
- (1) "Central Freeway Replacement Project" is the department and city designated alternative transportation system to the damaged Central Freeway.
 - (2) "City" is the City and County of San Francisco.
- (3) "Freeway Project" includes demolition of the existing commonly known Central Freeway, construction of a new freeway between Mission Street and Market Street, and construction of ramps to, and from, the new freeway.
- (4) "Octavia Street Project" is the improvement of Octavia Street from Market Street north as a ground level boulevard.
 - (b) The Legislature finds and declares all of the following:
- (1) That portion of Route 101 located in the city and commonly known as the Central Freeway was severely damaged in the 1989 Loma Prieta earthquake. This damage to the Central Freeway caused and continues to cause significant traffic congestion.
- (2) Following the Loma Prieta earthquake, the department and the city, with substantial public involvement, selected the Central Freeway Replacement Project as an alternative transportation system to the damaged Central Freeway. The Central Freeway Replacement Project includes the Freeway Project consisting of the demolition of the existing Central Freeway, construction of a new freeway between Mission Street and Market Street, and the construction of ramps to, and from, the new freeway, and the Octavia Street Project, consisting of improvement of Octavia Street from Market Street north as a ground level boulevard. The Central Freeway Replacement Project will remediate traffic congestion problems and allow the city to reclaim unnecessary rights-of-way for beneficial public uses.
- (3) The implementation of an alternative transportation system is in the best interests of the people of the State of California.
- (4) No portions of Route 101 north of Fell Street and south of Turk Street are needed for the Central Freeway Replacement Project or for the proposed alternative project to be placed before the voters as Proposition J in the general municipal election of November 1999.
- 38 (c) (1) The Legislature recognizes that the Central Freeway 39 Replacement Project adopted by the city's voters, as local measure

— 13 — AB 1717

Proposition E in November 1998 qualifies for the statutory exemption under Section 180.2.

- (2) The Legislature further recognizes that the proposed alternative project included in Proposition J also qualifies for the statutory exemption under Section 180.2.
- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), any development of property transferred to the city pursuant to this section may, to the extent required by applicable law, require subsequent environmental analysis by the city at the time at which the specific proposals for the use of that property are developed.
- (d) That portion of Route 101 between Market Street and Turk Street is not a state highway, except that if the proposed alternative to the Octavia Street Project is approved by the voters in the general municipal election of November 1999, only that portion of Route 101 between Fell Street and Turk Street is not a state highway.
- (e) The department shall retain jurisdiction over the portion of Route 101 that is between Mission Street and either Market Street or Fell Street, depending on which project is approved by the voters in the general municipal election of November 1999, and shall promptly transfer to the city any portion of Route 101 that is not a state highway under subdivision (d).
- (f) The following shall apply if the voters do not approve the alternative project in the general municipal election of November 1999:
- (1) The city shall utilize any proceeds from the disposition or use of excess rights-of-way for the purpose of designing, constructing, developing, and maintaining the Octavia Street Project until the city's share of the costs of that project are paid in full or funded from other sources. Upon the full funding of the city's share of the Octavia Street Project, the city shall utilize any remaining proceeds from the sale of excess rights-of-way solely for the transportation and related purposes authorized under Article XIX of the California Constitution.
- (2) Upon notification to the department by the San Francisco County Transportation Authority that the city is prepared to implement an interim traffic management plan, the department shall proceed expeditiously with demolition of the portion of Route 101 between Fell and Mission Streets. The department shall design and construct the Freeway Project, and the city shall design

AB 1717 — 14 —

and construct the Octavia Street Project, and each project shall be consistent with the Central Freeway Replacement Project.

SEC. 18.

3

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

32

33

38

4 SEC. 16. Section 164.6 of the Streets and Highways Code is 5 amended to read:

- 164.6. (a) The department shall prepare a 10-year state 6 rehabilitation plan for the rehabilitation and reconstruction, or the combination thereof, by the State Highway Operation and Protection Program, of all state highways and bridges owned by the state. The plan shall identify all rehabilitation needs for the 10 10-year period beginning on July 1, 1998, and ending on June 30, 11 12 2008, and shall include a schedule of improvements to complete 13 all needed rehabilitation during the life of the plan not later than 14 June 30, 2008. The plan shall be updated every two years beginning in 2000. The plan shall include specific milestones and 15 quantifiable accomplishments, such as miles of highways to be 16 17 repaved and number of bridges to be retrofitted. The plan shall contain strategies to control cost and improve the efficiency of the 19 program, and include a cost estimate for at least the first five years 20 of the program.
 - (b) The plan shall be submitted to the commission for review and comments not later than January 31 of each odd-numbered year, and shall be transmitted to the Governor and the Legislature not later than May 1 of each odd-numbered year.
 - (c) The plan shall be the basis for the department's budget request and for the adoption of fund estimates pursuant to Section 163.

SEC. 19.

SEC. 17. Section 180.10 of the Streets and Highways Code is repealed.

31 SEC. 20.

- SEC. 18. Section 188.5 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:
- 34 188.5. (a) The Legislature finds and declares all of the 35 following:
- (1) The department has determined that in order to provide 36 37 maximum safety for the traveling public and to ensure continuous and unimpeded operation of the state's transportation network, six state-owned toll bridges are in need of a seismic safety retrofit, and

— 15 — AB 1717

one state-owned toll bridge is in need of a partial retrofit and a partial replacement.

- (2) The bridges identified by the department as needing seismic retrofit are the Benicia-Martinez Bridge, the Carquinez Bridge, the Richmond-San Rafael Bridge, the San Mateo-Hayward Bridge, the San Pedro-Terminal Island Bridge (also known as the Vincent Thomas Bridge), the San Diego-Coronado Bridge, and the west span of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge. The department has also identified the east span of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge as needing to be replaced. That replacement span will be safer, stronger, longer lasting, and more cost efficient to maintain than completing a seismic retrofit for the current east span.
- (3) The south span of the Carquinez Bridge is to be replaced pursuant to Regional Measure 1, as described in subdivision (b) of Section 30917.
- (4) The cost estimate to retrofit the state-owned toll bridges and to replace the east span of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge is four billion six hundred thirty-seven million dollars (\$4,637,000,000), as follows:
- (A) The Benicia-Martinez Bridge retrofit is one hundred ninety million dollars (\$190,000,000).
- (B) The north span of the Carquinez Bridge retrofit is one hundred twenty-five million dollars (\$125,000,000).
- (C) The Richmond-San Rafael Bridge retrofit is six hundred sixty-five million dollars (\$665,000,000).
- (D) The San Mateo-Hayward Bridge retrofit is one hundred ninety million dollars (\$190,000,000).
- (E) The San Pedro-Terminal Island Bridge retrofit is sixty-two million dollars (\$62,000,000).
- (F) The San Diego-Coronado Bridge retrofit is one hundred five million dollars (\$105,000,000).
- (G) The west span of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge retrofit, as a lifeline bridge, is seven hundred million dollars (\$700,000,000).
- (H) Replacement of the east span of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge is two billion six hundred million dollars (\$2,600,000,000).
- (b) It is the intent of the Legislature that the following amounts from the following funds shall be allocated until expended, for the seismic retrofit or replacement of state-owned toll bridges:

AB 1717 — 16 —

 (1) Six hundred fifty million dollars (\$650,000,000) from the 1996 Seismic Retrofit Account in the Seismic Retrofit Bond Fund of 1996 for the seven state-owned toll bridges identified by the department as requiring seismic safety retrofit or replacement.

- (2) One hundred forty million dollars (\$140,000,000) in surplus revenues generated under the Seismic Retrofit Bond Act of 1996 that are in excess of the amount actually necessary to complete Phase Two of the state's seismic retrofit program. These excess funds shall be reallocated to assist in financing seismic retrofit of the state-owned toll bridges.
- (3) Fifteen million dollars (\$15,000,000) from the Vincent Thomas Toll Bridge Revenue Account.
 - (4) The funds necessary to meet both of the following:
- (A) A principal obligation of two billion two hundred eighty-two million dollars (\$2,282,000,000) from the seismic retrofit surcharge, including any interest therefrom, imposed pursuant to Section 31010, subject to the limitation set forth in subdivision (c) and subdivision (b) of Section 31010.
- (B) All costs of financing, including capitalized interest, reserves, costs of issuance, costs of credit enhancements and any other financial products necessary or desirable in connection therewith, and any other costs related to financing.
- (5) Thirty-three million dollars (\$33,000,000) from the San Diego-Coronado Toll Bridge Revenue Fund.
- (6) Not less than seven hundred forty-five million dollars (\$745,000,000) from the State Highway Account to be used toward the eight hundred seventy-five million dollars (\$875,000,000) state contribution, to be achieved as follows:
- (A) (i) Two hundred million dollars (\$200,000,000) to be appropriated for the state-local transportation partnership program described in paragraph (7) of subdivision (d) of Section 164 for the 1998–99 fiscal year.
- (ii) The remaining funds intended for that program and any program savings to be made available for toll bridge seismic retrofit.
- (B) A reduction of not more than seventy-five million dollars (\$75,000,000) in the funding level specified in paragraph (4) of subdivision (d) of Section 164 for traffic system management.

— 17 — AB 1717

(C) Three hundred million dollars (\$300,000,000) in accumulated savings by the department achieved from better efficiency and lower costs.

- (7) Not more than one hundred thirty million dollars (\$130,000,000) from the Transit Capital Improvement Program funded by the Public Transportation Account in the State Transportation Fund to be used toward the eight hundred seventy-five million dollars (\$875,000,000) state contribution. If the contribution in subparagraph (A) of paragraph (6) exceeds three hundred seventy million dollars (\$370,000,000), it is the intent that the amount from the Transit Capital Improvement Program shall be reduced by an amount that is equal to that excess.
- (8) (A) The funds necessary to meet principal obligations of not less than six hundred forty-two million dollars (\$642,000,000) from the state's share of the federal Highway Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation (HBRR) Program.
- (B) If the project costs exceed four billion six hundred thirty-seven million dollars (\$4,637,000,000), the department may program not more than four hundred forty-eight million dollars (\$448,000,000) in project savings or other available resources from the Interregional Transportation Improvement Program, the State Highway Operation and Protection Program, or federal bridge funds for that purpose.
- (C) None of the funds identified in subparagraph (B) may be expended for any purpose other than the conditions and design features described in paragraph (9).
- (9) The estimated cost of replacing the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge listed in subparagraph (H) of paragraph (4) of subdivision (a) is based on the following conditions:
- (A) The new bridge shall be located north adjacent to the existing bridge and shall be the Replacement Alternative N-6 (preferred) Suspension Structure Variation, as specified in the Final Environmental Impact Statement, dated May 1, 2001, submitted by the department to the Federal Highway Administration.
- 36 (B) The main span of the bridge shall be in the form of a single 37 tower cable suspension design and shall be the Replacement 38 Alternative N-6 (preferred) Suspension Structure Variation, as 39 specified in the Final Environmental Impact Statement, dated May

AB 1717 — 18 —

1 1, 2001, submitted by the department to the Federal Highway Administration.

- (C) The roadway in each direction shall consist of five lanes, each lane will be 12 feet wide, and there shall be 10-foot shoulders as an emergency lane for public safety purposes on each side of the main-traveled way.
- (c) If the actual cost of retrofit or replacement, or both retrofit and replacement, of toll bridges is less than the cost estimate of four billion six hundred thirty-seven million dollars (\$4,637,000,000), there shall be a reduction in the amount provided in paragraph (4) of subdivision (b) equal to the proportion of total funds committed to complete the projects funded from funds generated from paragraph (4) of subdivision (b) as compared to the total funds from paragraphs (6), (7), and (8) of subdivision (b), and there shall be a proportional reduction in the amount specified in paragraph (8) of subdivision (b).
- (d) If the department determines that the actual costs exceed the amounts identified in subparagraph (B) of paragraph (8) of subdivision (b), the department shall report to the Legislature within 90 days from the date of that determination as to the difference and the reason for the increase in costs.
- (e) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the commission shall adopt fund estimates consistent with subdivision (b) and provide flexibility so that state funds can be made available to match federal funds made available to regional transportation planning agencies.
- (f) For the purposes of this section, "principal obligations" are the amount of funds generated, either in cash, obligation authority, or the proceeds of a bond or other indebtedness.
- (g) (1) Commencing January 1, 2004, and quarterly thereafter until completion of all applicable projects, the department shall provide quarterly seismic reports to the transportation committees of both houses of the Legislature and to the commission for each of the toll bridge seismic retrofit projects in subdivision (a).
- (2) The report shall include details of each toll bridge seismic retrofit project and all information necessary to clearly describe the status of the project, including, but not limited to, all of the following:
 - (A) A progress report.

— 19 — AB 1717

(B) The baseline budget for support and capital outlay construction costs that the department assumed at the time that Chapter 907 of the Statutes of 2001 was enacted.

1 2

4

5

6

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

- (C) The current or projected budget for support and capital outlay construction costs.
- (D) Expenditures to date for support and capital outlay construction costs.
- (E) A comparison of the current or projected schedule and the baseline schedule that was assumed at the time that Chapter 907 of the Statutes of 2001 was enacted.
- (F) A summary of milestones achieved during the quarterly period and any issues identified and actions taken to address those
- (h) (1) Commencing on January 1, 2004, and quarterly thereafter until completion of all applicable projects, the department shall provide quarterly seismic reports to the transportation committees of both houses of the Legislature and to the commission for other seismic retrofit programs.
 - (2) The reports shall include all of the following:
 - (A) A progress report for each program.
- (B) The program baseline budget for support and capital outlay construction costs.
- (C) The current or projected program budget for support and capital outlay construction costs.
- (D) Expenditures to date for support and capital outlay construction costs.
- (E) A comparison of the current or projected schedule and the baseline schedule.
- (F) A summary of milestones achieved during the quarterly period and any issues identified and actions taken to address those
- SEC. 21. Section 302 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:
 - 302. (a) Route 2 is from:
- (1) The point where Santa Monica Boulevard crosses the city 36 limits of Santa Monica at Centinela Avenue to Route 101 in Los Angeles, except the relinquished portions described in subdivision (b).
- 39 (2) Route 101 in Los Angeles to Route 210 in La Canada-Flintridge via Glendale.

AB 1717 — 20 —

- (3) Route 210 in La Canada-Flintridge to Route 138 via Wrightwood. 2
- 3 (b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), the relinquished former portions of Route 2 within the city limits of West Hollywood and Santa Monica, and between Route 405 and Moreno Drive in Los 5 Angeles, are not a state highway and are not eligible for adoption under Section 81. Those cities shall maintain signs within their respective jurisdictions directing motorists to the continuation of
- 9 Route 2.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

25

26

27 28

29

30

33

34

35

- SEC. 22. 10
 - SEC. 19. Section 339 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:
 - 339. Route 39 is from:
 - (a) Route 1 near Huntington Beach to Route 72 in La Habra via Beach Boulevard.
 - (b) Beach Boulevard to Harbor Boulevard in La Habra via Whittier Boulevard.
- (c) Whittier Boulevard in La Habra to Route 2 via Harbor 19 Boulevard to the vicinity of Fullerton Road, then to Azusa Avenue, Azusa Avenue to San Gabriel Canyon Road, San Gabriel Avenue southbound between Azusa Avenue and San Gabriel Canyon Road, and San Gabriel Canyon Road, other than the portion of the segment described by this subdivision that is within the city limits 24 of Azusa and Covina.
 - The relinquished former portions of Route 39 within the city limits of Azusa and Covina are not a state highway and are not eligible for adoption under Section 81.

SEC. 23.

- SEC. 20. Section 354 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:
- 31 354. (a) Route 54 is from Route 5 near the Sweetwater River 32 to the southern city limits of El Cajon.
 - (b) The relinquished former portion of Route 54 within the City of El Cajon is not a state highway and is not eligible for adoption under Section 81.
- (c) The City of El Cajon may not impose any special restriction 36 on the operation of buses or commercial motor vehicles, as defined 37 in paragraph (1) of subdivision (c) of Section 34601 of the Vehicle Code, on the relinquished former portion of Route 54 if that

— 21 — AB 1717

- restriction is in addition to restrictions authorized under other 2 provisions of law.
 - SEC. 24.

3

8

15

17

18 19

20

24

- SEC. 21. Section 373 of the Streets and Highways Code is 4 5 amended to read:
- 373. Route 73 is from Route 5 near San Juan Capistrano to 6 Route 405 via the San Joaquin Hills.
 - SEC. 25.
- 9 SEC. 22. Section 390 of the Streets and Highways Code is 10 amended to read:
- 11 390. (a) Route 90 is from Route 1 northwest of the Los Angeles International Airport to Route 91 in Santa Ana Canyon 12 13 passing near La Habra, except for the portion within the city limits 14 of Yorba Linda.
- (b) The relinquished former portion of Route 90 within the City 16 of Yorba Linda is not a state highway and is not eligible for adoption under Section 81.
 - (c) The City of Yorba Linda shall ensure the continuity of traffic flow on the relinquished former portion of Route 90, including any traffic signal progression.
- 21 (d) For the relinquished former portion of Route 90, the City of 22 Yorba Linda shall maintain signs directing motorists to the 23 continuation of Route 90.
 - SEC. 26.
- SEC. 23. Section 391 of the Streets and Highways Code is 25 26 amended to read:
 - 391. Route 91 is from:
- (a) Vermont Avenue at the eastern city limits of Gardena to 28 29 Route 215 in Riverside via Santa Ana Canyon.
- (b) The relinquished former portions of Route 91 in the Cities 30 of Gardena, Torrance, Lawndale, Redondo Beach, Manhattan
- Beach, and Hermosa Beach are not a state highway and are not
- 33 eligible for adoption under Section 81.
- 34 SEC. 27.
- 35 SEC. 24. Section 391.1 of the Streets and Highways Code is 36 repealed.
- 37 SEC. 28.
- 38 SEC. 25. Section 391.3 of the Streets and Highways Code, as
- added by Section 22 of Chapter 724 of the Statutes of 1999, is 39
- repealed.

AB 1717 — 22 —

- 1 SEC. 29.
- 2 SEC. 26. Section 391.3 of the Streets and Highways Code, as
- added by Section 12.5 of Chapter 1007 of the Statutes of 1999, isrepealed.
- 5 SEC. 30.
- 6 SEC. 27. Section 401.1 of the Streets and Highways Code is 7 repealed.
- 8 SEC. 31.
- 9 SEC. 28. Section 407 of the Streets and Highways Code is 10 amended to read:
- 11 407. (a) Route 107 is from Route 1 in Torrance to the 12 southern city limits of Lawndale.
- 13 (b) The relinquished former portion of Route 107 in the City of Lawndale is not a state highway and is not eligible for adoption under Section 81.
- 16 SEC. 32.
- 17 SEC. 29. Section 407.1 of the Streets and Highways Code is 18 repealed.
- 19 SEC. 33.
- 20 SEC. 30. Section 410 of the Streets and Highways Code is 21 amended to read:
- 410. (a) Route 110 is from Route 47 in San Pedro to Glenarm Street in Pasadena.
- 24 (b) The relinquished former portion of Route 110 that is located 25 between Glenarm Street and Colorado Boulevard in Pasadena is 26 not a state highway and is not eligible for adoption under Section 27 81.
- 28 SEC. 34.
- 29 SEC. 31. Section 411 of the Streets and Highways Code is 30 amended to read:
- 31 411. Route 111 is from:
- (a) The international border south of Calexico to Route 78 near
 Brawley, passing east of Heber.
- 34 (b) Route 78 near Brawley to Route 86 via the north shore of the Salton Sea.
- 36 (c) Route 10 near Indio to the southeastern city limits of Rancho Mirage.
- 38 (d) The western city limits of Cathedral City to Route 10 near
- 39 Whitewater.

AB 1717

- The relinquished former portions of Route 111 within the Cities of Cathedral City and Rancho Mirage are not a state highway and are not eligible for adoption under Section 81.
- 4 SEC. 35.

1

2

14

15

16

22

23

- 5 SEC. 32. Section 411.5 of the Streets and Highways Code is repealed. 6
- 7 SEC. 36.
- SEC. 33. 8 Section 426 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:
- 10 426. (a) Route 126 is fromRoute 101 near Ventura to Route 11 5.
- 12 (b) Route 126 shall be known and designated as the "Santa 13 Paula Freeway."
 - (c) The relinquished former portion of Route 126 within the City of Santa Clarita is not a state highway and is not eligible for adoption under Section 81.
- 17 SEC. 37.
- 18 SEC. 34. Section 460 of the Streets and Highways Code is 19 amended to read:
- 20 460. (a) Route 160 is from Route 4 near Antioch to the 21 southern city limits of Sacramento.
 - (b) The relinquished former portion of Route 160 within the City of Sacramento is not a state highway and is not eligible for adoption under Section 81.
- 25 SEC. 38.
- SEC. 35. 26 Section 509 of the Streets and Highways Code is 27 repealed.
- 28 SEC. 39.
- 29 SEC. 36. Section 820 of the Streets and Highways Code is 30 amended to read:
- 31 The State of California assents to the provisions of Title
- 32 23 of the United States Code, as amended and supplemented, other
- acts of Congress relative to federal aid, or other cooperative
- highway work, or to emergency construction of public highways
- with funds apportioned by the government of the United States. 35
- 36 All work done under the provisions of Title 23 or other acts of
- Congress relative to highways shall be performed as required
- under acts of Congress and the rules and regulations promulgated
- thereunder. Laws, or rules and regulations, of this state inconsistent with the laws, or rules and regulations, of the United

- 1 States, shall not apply to that work, to the extent of the 2 inconsistency.